

UPPER BOUNDS FOR THE SPECTRAL RADIUS OF THE $n \times n$ HILBERT MATRIX

PETER OTTE

We derive upper bounds for the spectral radius of the $n \times n$ Hilbert matrix. The key idea is to write the Hilbert matrix as integral operator with positive kernel function and then to use a Wielandt-type min-max principle for the spectral radius. Choosing special trial functions yields a new bound which we show improves the best bound known heretofore.

1. Introduction

The spectral asymptotics of the Hilbert matrix has attracted a lot of interest concerning both the lowest and the largest eigenvalue. Here we shall focus on the spectral radius ρ_n of the $n \times n$ Hilbert matrix for which we shall prove, particularly, the bound

$$(1) \quad \rho_n \leq 2w_n \arcsin \frac{1}{w_n} \text{ with } w_n := 2 \left[\frac{(n!)^2}{(2n)!} \right]^{1/2n}, n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

This improves, at least for large values of n , Cassels' bound, see (5) below, which is the best bound hitherto known. Numerical computations suggest that (1) is even better for all n except $n = 1, 2$.

We base the proof of (1) upon relating the Hilbert matrix to an integral operator H_n whose spectral radius can be expressed by a min-max principle for operators having positive kernel functions:

$$(2) \quad \rho_n = \inf_{\varphi \in M} \sup_{0 < x < 1} \frac{(H_n \varphi)(x)}{\varphi(x)}$$

where M is some set of appropriate trial functions. For the sake of completeness we shall prove (2) without recourse to the general theory. In the matrix case the above min-max principle is due to Wielandt [16] and related to the enclosure result of Collatz [3]. It was generalized in many directions (see e.g. [6, 9, 10]).

To derive estimates we pick $\varphi(x) := (1 - x)^\gamma$ in (2) with $-1 < \gamma < 0$. We restrict ourselves to the case $\gamma = -\frac{1}{2}$, for which the calculations are manageable, and obtain (1).

Hilbert was the first one to investigate spectral properties of the matrix named after him. In his lectures he showed his double series theorem stating

that ρ_n stays finite as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and this was first published by Weyl [13] (see also [17]). The concrete inequality

$$(3) \quad \rho_n \leq \pi$$

is due to Schur [11]. This is the optimal constant that does not depend on the dimension n . However, if we do want the bound to depend on n it is possible to strengthen (3). Frazer [5] obtained

$$(4) \quad \rho_n \leq n \sin \pi/n, \quad n \geq 2,$$

by refining a method due to Fejér and Riesz [4] used by them to prove what is now called the Fejér-Riesz inequality for analytic functions. (4) was rediscovered by Hsiang [7] and, later, Yahya [18] and was eventually improved by Cassels [2] to

$$(5) \quad \rho_n \leq 2 \arctan \sqrt{2n}.$$

Finally, it might be instructive to look at the asymptotic expansion of ρ_n . The first, but not exact, asymptotic result was obtained by Taussky [12]

$$\rho_n = \pi + O(1/\ln n)$$

by computing the quadratic form with special trial vectors having components $c_k := 1/\sqrt{k}$. The exact asymptotic behaviour

$$\rho_n = \pi - \frac{\pi^5}{2 \ln^2 n} + O(\ln \ln n / \ln^3 n)$$

was determined by de Bruijn and Wilf [1] who compared the matrix operator with an integral operator whose spectral asymptotics can be derived from general results due to Widom [14] (see also [15]).

2. Estimates for the spectral radius

We start by relating the Hilbert matrix

$$(6) \quad A_n := \left(\frac{1}{j+k+1} \right)_{j,k=0,\dots,n-1}$$

to the integral operator $H_n : C[0, 1] \rightarrow C[0, 1]$ having the kernel function

$$(7) \quad K_n(xy) := \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (xy)^j = \frac{1 - (xy)^n}{1 - xy}.$$

For $n = \infty$ this operator was used by Magnus [8] to study the spectrum of the infinite Hilbert matrix. We let H_n act on $C[0, 1]$ because we want to have sufficiently many trial functions at hand. As we hoped, H_n has (almost) the same spectrum as A_n . In particular, they have the same spectral radius henceforth denoted by ρ_n .

Lemma 1. *Let $C[0, 1]$ be equipped with the usual maximum norm. Then $H_n : C[0, 1] \rightarrow C[0, 1]$ is a bounded linear operator. The respective spectra of the Hilbert matrix A_n and the integral operator H_n are the same apart from 0. Furthermore, their common spectral radius ρ_n can be expressed by*

$$(8) \quad \rho_n = \inf_{\varphi \in M} \sup_{0 < x < 1} \frac{(H_n \varphi)(x)}{\varphi(x)} \text{ where } M := \{\varphi \in L^1[0, 1] \mid \varphi > 0, \frac{1}{\varphi} \in C[0, 1]\}.$$

Proof. It is clear from the definition and (7) that H_n is linear and bounded. Moreover, (7) also shows that H_n has n -dimensional range spanned by the monomials x^k , $k = 0, \dots, n-1$, which implies that the spectrum of H_n consists only of eigenvalues. To each $c \in \mathbb{C}^n$ we associate $\varphi_c \in C[0, 1]$ in the natural way

$$(9) \quad c = (c_0, \dots, c_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{C}^n \longleftrightarrow \varphi_c(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} c_j x^j.$$

The statement on the spectra then follows from

$$\begin{aligned} (H_n \varphi_c)(x) &= \int_0^1 \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (xy)^j \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} c_k y^k dy \\ &= \sum_{j,k=0}^{n-1} c_k x^j \int_0^1 y^{j+k} dy = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} x^j \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{j+k+1} c_k. \end{aligned}$$

Note that H_n must have a kernel and A_n does not.

To prove Formula (8) we recall from the Perron-Frobenius Theorem that, since A_n has positive entries, ρ_n is an eigenvalue of A_n and, hence, of H_n . Let v be the corresponding eigenfunction. Writing down the eigenvalue equation for v and dividing by $\varphi \in M$ yield

$$\rho_n \frac{v(x)}{\varphi(x)} = \int_0^1 K_n(xy) \frac{\varphi(y)}{\varphi(x)} \frac{v(y)}{\varphi(y)} dy.$$

This shows that $v/\varphi \in C[0, 1]$ is an eigenfunction of the operator $H_{n,\varphi}$ with kernel

$$K_{n,\varphi}(xy) := K_n(xy) \frac{\varphi(y)}{\varphi(x)}$$

whence $\rho_n \leq \rho(H_{n,\varphi})$, the spectral radius of $H_{n,\varphi}$. Since $\rho(H_{n,\varphi}) \leq \|H_{n,\varphi}\|_\infty$ we conclude

$$\rho_n \leq \|H_{n,\varphi}\|_\infty = \sup_{0 < x < 1} \int_0^1 K_{n,\varphi}(xy) dy$$

where we have heeded $\varphi(x) > 0$, $K_n(xy) \geq 0$ and, thus, $K_{n,\varphi}(xy) \geq 0$. To show equality in (8) we once again invoke the Perron-Frobenius Theorem according to which the eigenvector of A_n belonging to ρ_n can be chosen

to have positive components whence we can, via (9), likewise choose the eigenfunction $v > 0$. In particular $v \in M$. \square

We use Lemma 1 to estimate the spectral radius from above by cleverly choosing trial functions in (8), i.e. in

$$(10) \quad r_n(x) := \frac{(H_n \varphi)(x)}{\varphi(x)} = \frac{1}{\varphi(x)} \int_0^1 \frac{1 - (xy)^n}{1 - xy} \varphi(y) dy, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

In order to get an idea how the φ 's should look like we cast r_n into a form more amenable to further investigation. The crucial point is to evaluate the integral

$$J_n(x) := \int_0^1 \frac{y^n}{1 - xy} \varphi(y) dy.$$

We start by differentiating with respect to x :

$$(11) \quad J'_n(x) = \int_0^1 \frac{y^{n+1}}{(1 - xy)^2} \varphi(y) dy = \frac{1}{x} \int_0^1 \frac{y^n}{(1 - xy)^2} \varphi(y) dy - \frac{1}{x} J_n(x).$$

The first integral on the very right-hand side can also be produced by integration by parts, which we perform in such a way that $\varphi(1)$ is omitted because our trial functions will have a singularity at $x = 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} J_n(x) &= \left[(y - 1) \frac{y^n}{1 - xy} \varphi(y) \right]_0^1 \\ &\quad - \int_0^1 (y - 1) \left[\left(\frac{ny^{n-1}}{1 - xy} + \frac{xy^n}{(1 - xy)^2} \right) \varphi(y) + \frac{y^n}{1 - xy} \varphi'(y) \right] dy \\ &= \delta_n \varphi(0) + n J_{n-1}(x) - n J_n(x) + (x - 1) \int_0^1 \frac{y^n}{(1 - xy)^2} \varphi(y) dy \\ &\quad + J_n(x) + \tilde{J}_n(x) \end{aligned}$$

with $\delta_n := \delta_{n,0}$ the Kronecker delta and

$$\tilde{J}_n(x) := \int_0^1 \frac{y^n}{1 - xy} (1 - y) \varphi'(y) dy.$$

Hence we can eliminate the integral in question from (11)

$$(12) \quad J'_n(x) = \frac{1}{x(1 - x)} \left(\delta_n \varphi(0) + n J_{n-1}(x) - n J_n(x) + \tilde{J}_n(x) \right) - \frac{1}{x} J_n(x).$$

To eliminate the annoying J_{n-1} we observe that

$$J_n(x) = \int_0^1 \frac{y^n}{1 - xy} \varphi(y) dy = \frac{1}{x} J_{n-1}(x) - \frac{1}{x} \int_0^1 y^{n-1} \varphi(y) dy$$

and therewith (12) becomes

$$(13) \quad J'_n(x) = \frac{\delta_n}{x(1 - x)} \varphi(0) - \frac{n + 1}{x} J_n(x) + \frac{\kappa_n}{x(1 - x)} + \frac{1}{x(1 - x)} \tilde{J}_n(x)$$

where we have put

$$(14) \quad \kappa_n := n \int_0^1 y^{n-1} \varphi(y) dy, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \kappa_0 := 0.$$

We are going to express

$$(15) \quad \Phi_n(x) := \frac{x^n}{\varphi(x)} J_n(x)$$

by dint of (13) through a differential equation:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi'_n(x) &= -\frac{\varphi'(x)}{\varphi^2(x)} x^n J_n(x) + \frac{nx^{n-1}}{\varphi(x)} J_n(x) + \frac{x^n}{\varphi(x)} J'_n(x) \\ &= -\left(\frac{\varphi'(x)}{\varphi(x)} + \frac{1}{x}\right) \Phi_n(x) + \frac{x^{n-1}}{(1-x)\varphi(x)} \tilde{J}_n(x) \\ &\quad + \frac{x^{n-1}}{(1-x)\varphi(x)} (\delta_n \varphi(0) + \kappa_n). \end{aligned}$$

At this point we fix our trial function φ in such a way that

$$(16) \quad (1-x)\varphi'(x) = -\gamma\varphi(x),$$

i.e. $\varphi(x) = (1-x)^\gamma$ with some $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ to be specified later, whereby \tilde{J}_n becomes a multiple of J_n and, hence, we arrive at a differential equation for Φ_n :

$$(17) \quad \Phi'_n(x) = -(\gamma+1)\frac{1}{x}\Phi_n(x) + \frac{x^{n-1}}{(1-x)^{1+\gamma}} (\delta_n + \kappa_n)$$

this being equivalent to

$$(x^{1+\gamma}\Phi_n(x))' = \frac{x^{n+\gamma}}{(1-x)^{1+\gamma}} (\delta_n + \kappa_n).$$

We can solve immediately for Φ_n :

$$(18) \quad \Phi_n(x) = \frac{\delta_n + \kappa_n}{x^{1+\gamma}} \int_0^x \frac{\xi^{n+\gamma}}{(1-\xi)^{1+\gamma}} d\xi, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

In particular, we can now see that γ must satisfy $-1 < \gamma < 0$ in order to yield well-defined integrals and to have $\varphi \in M$ in (8). We summarize our calculations.

Theorem 2. *The spectral radius ρ_n of the $n \times n$ Hilbert matrix A_n as in (6) can be estimated by*

$$(19) \quad \rho_n \leq \inf_{0 < \alpha < 1} \sup_{0 < x < 1} \frac{1}{x^{1-\alpha}} \int_0^x \frac{1 - \kappa_n \xi^n}{\xi^\alpha (1-\xi)^{1-\alpha}} d\xi.$$

Here,

$$(20) \quad \kappa_n = \frac{n!}{(n-\alpha)(n-1-\alpha)\cdots(1-\alpha)}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Proof. Put $\alpha := -\gamma$ and use in turn the min-max principle (8), the definitions of r_n and Φ_n as in (10) and (15), respectively, with φ being chosen according to (16) to verify

$$\rho_n \leq \inf_{0 < \alpha < 1} \sup_{0 < x < 1} r_n(x) = \inf_{0 < \alpha < 1} \sup_{0 < x < 1} (\Phi_0(x) - \Phi_n(x)).$$

Then, (19) follows directly from the representation (18) of Φ_n .

For φ as in (16) the integral in (14) is Euler's Beta function. Hence,

$$\kappa_n = nB(n, 1 - \alpha) = \frac{n\Gamma(n)\Gamma(1 - \alpha)}{\Gamma(n + 1 - \alpha)}$$

wherefrom we deduce (20). \square

The optimal way to derive bounds on ρ_n would be to determine the maximum of the function r_n exactly. Unfortunately, this turns out to be rather complicated wherefore we content ourselves with narrowing the region in which the maximum must lie.

Corollary 3. *The spectral radius ρ_n of the $n \times n$ Hilbert matrix A_n can be estimated by*

$$(21) \quad \rho_n \leq \inf_{0 < \alpha < 1} \kappa_n^{(1-\alpha)/n} \int_0^{1/\kappa_n^{1/n}} \frac{1}{\xi^\alpha (1 - \xi)^{1-\alpha}} d\xi$$

which in the case $\alpha = 1/2$ specializes to

$$(22) \quad \rho_n \leq 2w_n \arcsin \frac{1}{w_n} \text{ with } w_n := \kappa_n^{1/2n} = 2 \left[\frac{(n!)^2}{(2n)!} \right]^{1/2n}.$$

Proof. When $1 - \kappa_n \xi^n \leq 0$ the function r_n is decreasing whence the maximum must lie in the interval $[0, x_0]$ with x_0 denoting the unique zero of the integrand in (19)

$$1 - \kappa_n x_0^n = 0, \text{ i.e. } x_0 = 1/\kappa_n^{1/n}.$$

We conclude

$$\sup_{0 < x < 1} r_n(x) = \sup_{0 < x \leq x_0} r_n(x) \leq \sup_{0 < x \leq x_0} \Phi_0(x) = \Phi_0(x_0)$$

because the $\Phi_n(x) \geq 0$ and Φ_0 increases.

For $\alpha = 1/2$ the w_n 's are easily obtained from (22) and (20) and the integral in (21) can be evaluated by change of variables $\xi = s^2$. \square

Finally, we shall check that our estimate (22) is indeed better than (5). Using some familiar formulae for arctan and arcsin we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \arctan \sqrt{2n} - w_n \arcsin \frac{1}{w_n} &= \arctan \sqrt{2n} - \arcsin \frac{1}{w_n} - (w_n - 1) \arcsin \frac{1}{w_n} \\ &\geq \arctan \sqrt{2n} - \arctan \frac{1}{\sqrt{w_n^2 - 1}} - \frac{\pi}{2}(w_n - 1) \\ &= \arctan \frac{\sqrt{2n(w_n^2 - 1)} - 1}{\sqrt{w_n^2 - 1} + \sqrt{2n}} - \frac{\pi}{2}(w_n - 1). \end{aligned}$$

Now the asymptotics of the middle binomial coefficient yields

$$w_n \sim 2 \left(\frac{\sqrt{\pi n}}{4^n} \right)^{1/2n} \sim n^{1/4n}, \quad n \rightarrow \infty,$$

which implies immediately $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} w_n = 1$, and further

$$n(w_n - 1) \sim n(n^{1/4n} - 1) = n(e^{(\ln n)/4n} - 1) \sim \frac{1}{4} \ln n, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore for large n :

$$\frac{\sqrt{2n(w_n^2 - 1)} - 1}{\sqrt{w_n^2 - 1} + \sqrt{2n}} \geq \frac{1}{4} \frac{\sqrt{2n(w_n^2 - 1)}}{\sqrt{2n}} \geq \frac{1}{4} \sqrt{w_n - 1}.$$

Noting $\arctan x \geq cx$ for small x with some constant $c > 0$ and using the monotonicity of arctan we conclude

$$\arctan \sqrt{2n} - w_n \arcsin \frac{1}{w_n} \geq \frac{c}{4} \sqrt{w_n - 1} - \frac{\pi}{2}(w_n - 1) > 0$$

for large values of n . With some care it should be possible to show the statement for smaller values of n , too.

3. Remarks

We suggest some topics that might be worth further investigation.

1) In order to derive from Theorem 2 a bound that can be computed more or less explicitly we did not determine in (19) the maximum of the function r_n exactly. Thus, the very first possibility to strengthen (22) is to know more about the maximum of r_n .

2) Also for computational reasons we fixed the exponent $\alpha = 1/2$ in (21). However, numerical computations suggest that $\alpha = 1/2$, generally, is not the optimal choice and that other α 's give much more accurate estimates. According to a theorem of Čebyšev the integral in (21) can be evaluated for any $0 < \alpha < 1$ in closed form by means of elementary functions. However, it is not clear at all if these elementary functions allow for an efficient minimizing procedure.

3) A more vague idea is to pick other trial functions than $(1-x)^{-\alpha}$. Our method will work as long as we arrive at a differential equation for Φ_n like in (17).

4) Since Wielandt's min-max principle is accompanied by a max-min principle one can also think of deriving lower bounds for the spectral radius in which case, however, completely different trial functions are needed.

References

- [1] N.G. de Bruijn and H.S. Wilf, *On Hilbert's inequality in n dimensions*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **68** (1962), 70–73.
- [2] J.W.S. Cassels, *An elementary proof of some inequalities*, J. London Math. Soc. **23** (1948), 285–290.
- [3] L. Collatz, *Einschließungssatz für die charakteristischen Zahlen von Matrizen*, Math. Z. **48** (1942), 221–226.
- [4] L. Fejér and F. Riesz, *Über einige funktionentheoretische Ungleichungen*, Math. Z. **11** (1921), 305–314.
- [5] H. Frazer, *Note on Hilbert's inequality*, J. London Math. Soc. **21** (1946), 7–9.
- [6] S. Friedland, *Characterizations of the spectral radius of positive operators*, Linear Algebra Appl. **134** (1990), 93–105.
- [7] F.C. Hsiang, *An inequality for finite sequences*, Math. Scand. **5** (1957), 12–14.
- [8] W. Magnus, *On the spectrum of Hilbert's matrix*, Amer. J. Math. **72** (1950), 699–704.
- [9] I. Marek, *Spektrale Eigenschaften der \mathcal{K} -positiven Operatoren und Einschließungssätze für den Spektralradius*, Czechoslovak Math. J. (91) **16** (1966), 493–517.
- [10] H.H. Schaefer, *A minimax theorem for irreducible compact operators in L^p -spaces*, Israel J. Math. (2-3) **48** (1984), 196–204.
- [11] J. Schur, *Bemerkungen zur Theorie der beschränkten Bilinearformen mit unendlich vielen Veränderlichen*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **140** (1911), 1–28.
- [12] O. Taussky, *A remark concerning the characteristic roots of the finite segments of the Hilbert matrix*, Quart. J. Math., Oxford Ser. **20** (1949), 80–83.
- [13] H. Weyl, *Singuläre Integralgleichungen mit besonderer Berücksichtigung des Fourierschen Integraltheorems*, Inauguraldissertation (doctoral thesis), Göttingen University, 1908.
- [14] H. Widom, *On the eigenvalues of certain Hermitian operators*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **88** (1958), 491–522.
- [15] H. Widom, *Extreme eigenvalues of translation kernels*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **100** (1961), 252–262.
- [16] H. Wielandt, *Unzerlegbare, nicht negative Matrizen*, Math. Z. **52** (1950), 642–648.
- [17] F. Wiener, *Elementarer Beweis eines Reihensatzes von Herrn Hilbert*, Math. Ann. **68** (1910), 361–366.
- [18] Q.A.M.M. Yahya, *On the generalization of Hilbert's inequality*, Amer. Math. Monthly **72** (1965), 518–520.

Received October 17, 2003

RUHR-UNIVERSITÄT BOCHUM, FAKULTÄT FÜR MATHEMATIK, UNIVERSITÄTSSTRASSE 150,
D-44780 BOCHUM, GERMANY

E-mail address: peter.otte@ruhr-uni-bochum.de

I would like to thank Robert Denk and Hubert Kalf for stimulating discussions and their steady interest in this subject.